

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,549	74,549 02/09/2004		Ken Furukuwa	81716.0119	1117	
26021	7590	07/07/2006		EXAMINER		
1100111		SON L.L.P.	BEVERIDGE, RACHEL E			
500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900			ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611				1725		
				DATE MAILED: 07/07/2000	DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/774,549	FURUKUWA, KEN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Rachel E. Beveridge	1725		

	Rachel E. Beveridge	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection. Indivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Clust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	had water to the date of filling a build	will not be entered by	
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).):		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2,5,6,8 and 16.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by see continuation sheet. 	at does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Art Unit: 1725

CONTINUATION OF ITEM 11

Newly amended claim 2 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 23, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, Makoto fails to teach or suggest that a space defining cavity is secured between an inner wall surface of the through hole and an outer wall surface of the metal column, wherein the cavity is free from material (page 5). Although the examiner understands the applicant's position, the new amendment to the claim, "a space defining a cavity is secured between" the two wall surfaces would require further consideration and/or search.

Applicant argues that the melted brazing material enters the space and is filled with a solidified brazing material in Makoto; whereas, on the other hand, the instant invention maintains a space that is not filled with the brazing material (page 6).

Although, the examiner acknowledges the applicant's position, the examiner reminds the applicant that the new claim limitation "wherein the cavity is free from material" would require further consideration and/or search.

Art Unit: 1725

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel E. Beveridge whose telephone number is 571-272-5169. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 am to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

reb

DMATHAN JOHNSON DMARY EXAMINER